

THE NEXT BEST THING?

The use of ChatGPT in Transdisciplinary Education

GERDA VAN ROOZENDAAL

INSTITUTE FOR INTERDISCIPLINARY STUDIES,
FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM

01 INTRODUCTION

This study explores whether a customized large language model (CLLM), such as ChatGPT, can simulate non-academic perspectives in transdisciplinary education, where real-life stakeholder engagement is often limited. The aim is to support perspective-taking and co-operation by reducing barriers like availability, time pressure, and trust.



02 OBJECTIVE

- To investigate how non-academic voices can be meaningfully and feasibly included in transdisciplinary higher education.
- To explore whether a CLLM can simulate diverse perspectives and enhance student learning experiences.
- To evaluate the effectiveness of two different CLLM-based interventions in course settings resembling soft versus inclusive transdisciplinarity (Balsiger 2015).

03 BACKGROUND

Challenges in transdisciplinary education:

- Limited stakeholder access
- Unequal voice representation
- Time pressure and response fatigue
- Expectation management
- Difficulty building trust

Simulation (AI & non-AI) use in education reveals:

- Simulation supports problem definition and solving skills
- Authenticity is important
- Shallow personas reduce authenticity
- Prompt quality & retrieval augmentation is important

05 RESULTS

Exp 1: Personas (inclusive transdisciplinarity course)

Students found personas one-dimensional and unrealistic. Retrieval-augmented personas yield better responses than more general personas.

Exp 2: CRP (soft transdisciplinarity course)

The CRP bot yielded more positive and nuanced feedback from students, encouraged critical thinking, but still risked echoing input or resorted to hallucinating when data was insufficient.

Key insights:

CLLM can be useful in a soft transdisciplinary course setting with more narrowly defined stakeholders & less in an inclusive transdisciplinary course setting with a high variety of social actors.



04 METHODOLOGY

- Using **Educational Design Research**, two experiments were conducted in Bachelor courses at the UvA.
- Students interacted with ChatGPT-based personas or a Critical Review Panel (CRP) bot.
- These interventions were evaluated through student feedback and assessed for e.g. educational value, feasibility, and viability based on the McKenney & Reeves framework.



06 CONCLUSION



1. ChatGPT can simulate stakeholder perspectives, but only when it leads to high authenticity.
2. Creating these stakeholder perspectives can be time-consuming for lecturers and in some cases replace students' research efforts.
3. CLLMs are best used as an additional tool for narrow stakeholder interaction, especially, not a replacement.
4. Further refinement of AI personas and prompts is needed to meet the pedagogical goals of inclusive transdisciplinary education.

KEY REFERENCES

- Balsiger, J. (2015), Transdisciplinarity in the class room? Simulating the co-production of sustainability knowledge, *Futures*, 65, pp.185-194, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2014.08.005>.
- Chernikova, O., Heitzmann, N., Stadler, M., Holzberger, D., Seidel, T., & Fischer, F. (2020). Simulation-Based Learning in Higher Education: A Meta-Analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 90(4), pp.499-541. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654320933544>
- McKenney, S., & Reeves, T. (2019). Design and Construction. In McKenney, S., & Reeves, T., *Conducting Educational Design Research* (2nd ed., pp.126-160). Routledge.
- Mobjörk, M. (2010), Consulting versus participatory transdisciplinarity: A refined classification of transdisciplinary research, *Futures*, 42(8), pp.866-873. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2010.03.003>
- Xu, K., Zhang, K., Li, J., Huang, W., & Wang, Y. (2025). CRP-RAG: A Retrieval-Augmented Generation Framework for Supporting Complex Logical Reasoning and Knowledge Planning. *Electronics*, 14(1), 47. <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics14010047>
- Zhou, J., Müller, H., Holzinger, A., & Chen, F. (2024). Ethical ChatGPT: Concerns, Challenges, and Commandments. *Electronics*, 13(17), 3417. <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13173417>